Advances in Medicine, Psychology and Public Health (AMPPH)

Policy Perspective

Federal Policy and the Emerging U.S. Public Health Workforce: Implications for Students and Early-Career Professionals

Asma AWAN[1]*, Manoj SHARMA[2]

[1]*School of Dental Medicine, University of Nevada Las Vegas, USA. E-mail: asma.awan@unlv.edu* **ORCID:** *0009-0002-0535-218X*

[2]*Department of Social and Behavioral Health, School of Public Health, University of Nevada Las Vegas, USA. Department of Internal Medicine, Kirk Kerkorian School of Medicine, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, USA. E-mail: manoj.sharma@unlv.edu.* **ORCID:** *0000-0002-4624-2414*

**Corresponding Author*

Abstract

National policy is a major determinant of public health career viability, compensation, and workforce distribution. For the tens of thousands of Americans who have earned public health degrees since the early 2000s, federal funding, loan-repayment programs, and geographic incentives can influence whether graduates enter and remain in public-sector and underserved-community roles. This policy perspective examines how these mechanisms shape career pathways, adaptive strategies, and professional identity. Chronic underfunding can create a cyclical "policy trap" that weakens public-sector recruitment and retention, while debt-relief programs may improve the financial feasibility of governmental public health careers. Across public health degree levels, median federal student loan debt has been estimated at $33,366, and recent workforce data show that student debt remains common among state and local public health employees. Policy volatility, highlighted by the COVID-19 pandemic, has also encouraged portfolio careers, geographic mobility, and policy engagement as resilience strategies. Policy literacy is therefore increasingly relevant to career planning. Sustained funding, debt relief, competitive compensation, and cross-sector training are important components of a resilient public health workforce.

Keywords: Advocacy; Funding Stability; Policy Engagement; Public Health; Workforce Development.

Cite this paper as: Awan A, Sharma M. Federal Policy and the Emerging U.S. Public Health Workforce: Implications for Students and Early-Career Professionals. Adv Med Psychol Public Health. 2026;3(5):440-448. doi:10.5281/zenodo.23135283.

INTRODUCTION

Decisions about federal funding, student loans, and workforce development rarely make headlines, but their effects extend far beyond Washington. For the tens of thousands of graduates who have earned public health degrees in the United States since the early 2000s, these policy choices can influence whether a career in community health is financially viable [1]. The post-COVID-19 period has underscored both the importance of public health and the vulnerability of its workforce. National policy therefore shapes not only the number and location of available jobs, but also the aspirations and career strategies of students and early-career professionals. Funding mechanisms, debt-relief programs, and workforce incentives can create ripple effects across recruitment, retention, and geographic distribution [1].

The U.S. public health workforce sits at the intersection of a widening supply-demand mismatch, a substantial student-debt burden, and a fragile financing environment. Understanding the scale of these forces is essential for policy development, scholarship-program design, and workforce planning. The approaching end of time-limited American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) support reinforces the need to sustain governmental public health capacity beyond emergency funding cycles [1].

Collectively, this perspective focuses on four interrelated conditions: (1) a rapidly expanding educational supply of public health graduates that exceeds absorption into the governmental sector; (2) a debt burden that may deter entry into and retention within governmental public health careers; (3) a substantial but time-limited ARPA investment; and (4) federal debt-relief mechanisms whose workforce impact depends on program continuity and administrative stability. Together, these factors support continued investment in state, local, and Tribal public health workforce development, including scholarships, loan repayment, and pipeline-building programs [2].

Table 1 explains each core statistic with its primary source and a short interpretive note for a relevant policy brief. Statistics are grouped by domain to support both synthesis and deliverables.

Table 1. Key Public Health Workforce Financing Statistics, Sources, and Policy Interpretation.

Statistic	Value	Sources	Policy interpretation
Public health graduate supply			
Undergraduate PH degrees, in 2020	18,289 conferrals (up from 1,480 in 2001; +1,100% over two decades)	[3,4] ASPPH.	Educational pipeline is expanding rapidly; supply already exceeds absorption into the governmental sector.
Graduate first-destination employment	73% employed within 1 year; 15% pursuing further education (n = 53,463 graduates, 2015–2018)	[4] ASPPH.	The graduate pipeline transitions rapidly, but only ~10% of undergraduates enter government.
PH degree penetration in workforce	22% of current governmental PH workers hold a PH degree (up from 14%)	[4,5,6] ASPPH, PH WINS.	Formal PH training remains a minority credential in the workforce, a persistent training and composition gap.
Student debt burden			
Median PH graduate debt	$33,366 across all award levels, 2018–2019 AY	[7]	Provides the empirical anchor for calibrating federal loan-repayment amounts to PH graduate profiles.

Statistic	Value	Sources	Policy interpretation
PH workforce with any student debt	> 40% of state/local governmental PH workforce (n ≈ 57,000)	[5]	Student debt affects a substantial share of the workforce and may influence recruitment and retention.
Average balance among debt holders	≈ $48,000	[5]	A substantial average balance among borrowers may constrain career choices, particularly in lower-paid public-sector roles.
Aggregate PH workforce debt	> $4.5 billion	[5,8]	The aggregate debt burden supports consideration of system-level workforce and debt-relief policies.
Debt among workers earning ≤ $55,000	Average balance ≈ $38,000	[5]	Debt may be especially consequential for lower-wage workers and may contribute to affordability-related attrition.
American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) investments			
Total ARPA to HHS for PH workforce	$7.66 billion	[9] CRS.	Major time-limited federal investment to establish, expand, and sustain the public health workforce.
HRSA Community Health Worker Training Program	$225.5 million to 83 grantees; ≈ 13,000 CHWs supported	[11,12] NACo; HHS.	Direct workforce recruitment and training vehicle at the community level.
HRSA Public Health Scholarship Program	$40.7 million to 29 grantees	[11,12] NACo; HHS.	Model for scholarship-driven pipeline development; directly analogous to state-level ARPA scholarship efforts.
ARPA to NHSC and Nurse Corps	$1.0 billion ($800 million NHSC; $200 million Nurse Corps)	[9] CRS.	Substantial expansion of scholarship and loan-repayment capacity for service in shortage areas.
ARPA workforce resiliency (burnout)	$103 million over 3 years	[13] HRSA.	Recognizes retention as a workforce-supply issue, not only a wellness issue.
ARPA to Community Health Centers	> $6 billion nationwide	[10] HRSA.	Safety-net capacity investment; supports downstream demand for PH-trained workforce.
Public Health Workforce Loan Repayment Program (PHWLRP)			
Maximum annual award	$50,000 per year of obligated service	[14]	At median PH graduate debt ($33,366), a single year of service can materially retire the balance.
Payment cap for balances < $150,000	Not more than one-third of eligible loan balance per year of service	[14]	Structural incentive to lengthen service commitments for larger balances.
Minimum service commitment	3 consecutive years at state, local, or Tribal PH agency	[14]	Meaningful retention lever; longer than PSLF's 10-year horizon but with faster debt relief.

Statistic	Value	Sources	Policy interpretation
Originally contemplated appropriation	$100 million (FY 2020); $75 million/yr (FY 2021–2025)	[15]	Program was subsequently funded via ARPA obligations to HRSA.
Loan-forgiveness rates (Public Service Loan Forgiveness — PSLF)			
PSLF approval rate, mid-2019	≈ 1.1% (1,216 approved of > 110,000 applications; ≈ $52M discharged)	[16] NASFAA.	Baseline documenting the pre-reform administrative failure that motivated the 2021–2022 waiver.
PSLF cumulative forgiveness, January 2026	> 1.2 million borrowers; ≈ $90.6 billion forgiven	[17]	Post-reform scale demonstrates that policy design can be corrected; program is at greatest historical reach.
Cumulative discharged (PSLF + TEPSLF + Waiver)	$87.6 billion	[18]	Includes all forgiveness pathways established under the reform sequence.
Average per-borrower discharge	≈ $74,100 – $75,000	[17,18]	Individual-level relief substantially exceeds median PH graduate debt; PSLF is highly leveraged for PH graduates.
Current PSLF eligibility rate	≈ 20% of processed forms meet PSLF requirements (Jun 2024 – Sep 2025)	[17]	Administrative capacity is materially improved but denial rates remain a barrier.
Government-sector share of PSLF recipients	63% government workers; 37.2% 501(c)(3) nonprofit workers	[17]	Government employees constitute the largest group of PSLF recipients and overlap with the workforce targeted by PHWLRP.

Note: ARPA = American Rescue Plan Act of 2021; ASPPH = Association of Schools and Programs of Public Health; ASTHO = Association of State and Territorial Health Officials; CHW = community health worker; CRS = Congressional Research Service; HHS = U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; HRSA = Health Resources and Services Administration; NACo = National Association of Counties; NHSC = National Health Service Corps; PH WINS = Public Health Workforce Interests and Needs Survey; PHWLRP = Public Health Workforce Loan Repayment Program; PSLF = Public Service Loan Forgiveness; TEPSLF = Temporary Expanded Public Service Loan Forgiveness.

Federal student-aid requirements differ between undergraduate and graduate education. Undergraduate federal loans generally have lower borrowing limits and interest rates, whereas graduate students may borrow larger amounts, including through Grad PLUS loans, and may face higher interest rates and additional eligibility requirements [19]. Workforce support can include grants, scholarships, stipends, and loan-repayment programs that reduce existing educational debt in exchange for service in high-need settings. The Public Service Loan Forgiveness (PSLF) program may forgive the remaining balance on eligible federal loans after 120 qualifying monthly payments while a borrower works full-time for a qualifying government or nonprofit employer [2]. These mechanisms provide important context for understanding public health workforce development and placement.

POLICY CONTEXT

Job Market Challenges and Shifting Career Outlooks

The most fundamental policy challenge is the need for stable and sustained public funding that supports the basic viability of public health careers. Evidence from U.S. local health departments indicates that recruitment and retention require durable investments, competitive compensation, efficient hiring processes, and supportive workplace

conditions [20]. When public-sector compensation and hiring capacity lag behind private-sector alternatives, qualified graduates may move toward consulting, pharmaceuticals, technology, or other settings. This dynamic can create a policy trap: agencies need skilled professionals to demonstrate impact and justify continued investment, yet inadequate initial investment can make it difficult to recruit and retain the workforce needed to deliver that impact [20].

Loan-repayment and forgiveness programs may influence career choices more directly by reducing the financial disadvantage associated with lower-paid public-sector employment. ARPA provided approximately $7.4 billion to recruit, hire, and train public health workers, while separate federal loan-repayment and forgiveness mechanisms can reduce the educational debt carried by graduates entering public service [14,21]. Across public health degree levels, median federal student loan debt was $33,366 in 2018-2019 [7]. Historically, PSLF approval rates were very low, although subsequent administrative reforms substantially expanded access; by January 2026, more than 1.2 million borrowers had received PSLF, with almost $91 billion in balances discharged [16-18]. These changes illustrate how policy design and administrative implementation can materially affect the attractiveness of public-service careers.

Policy Shapes Career Choices in Public Health

National policy influences not only whether public health jobs exist, but also where they are located. Targeted incentive programs, including rural placement incentives and other supports, can alter the geographic distribution of opportunities [22]. Evidence from discrete-choice research in health workforces suggests that professionals respond to packages that combine salary, location, career-development opportunities, and work-life considerations [22]. For students and early-career professionals, this creates a more deliberate form of career planning in which policy-supported opportunities in underserved areas may be weighed alongside metropolitan job markets. In an environment of policy uncertainty, career decisions increasingly require attention to both opportunity and the durability of the programs that support it.

Public health students often report community impact and social justice as important career motivations, but these priorities can be constrained by debt, family obligations, and compensation. Policies that improve loan repayment, salary competitiveness, and career progression can therefore make mission-driven employment more financially sustainable. Policy environments also shape career readiness by signaling which competencies are valued, including research, practice, data analysis, and policy literacy. This responsiveness highlights how policy can influence training choices, employability, and long-term professional pathways.

CAREER ADAPTATION AND WORKFORCE RESILIENCE

Effects on Fieldwork, Research Scope, and Focus Areas

Public health students and early-career professionals may delay committing to a single sector and instead gain experience through fellowships, rotations, internships, research, and cross-sector roles. This strategy reflects both opportunity and uncertainty in the policy environment. A portfolio-career approach can combine public service, consulting, academia, data science, and nonprofit leadership, while also broadening professional networks and transferable skills. Research on public health students' attitudes toward research further suggests that confidence, mentoring, and exposure to applied research opportunities can shape professional development and future career interests [24].

Geographic mobility can also function as a career strategy. Students may compare state and local policy environments, funding stability, and workforce initiatives when considering employment. Experiential learning and fieldwork allow trainees to apply academic knowledge in real-world settings and to observe the organizational and community-level factors that influence workforce recruitment, retention, and service delivery [25]. Rural and remote placements may also expose students to local assets, community networks, quality-of-life considerations, and place-based recruitment strategies that can support workforce sustainability in underserved areas [26].

Policy engagement can likewise be viewed as a form of professional development rather than solely as civic participation. Advocacy activities, legislative internships, policy-oriented research, and engagement with decision-makers can help students understand how funding, regulation, and institutional priorities shape their future work. Such experiences may also strengthen communication, systems thinking, and cross-sector collaboration skills that are valuable in both public and private settings [27].

Policy Risk and Workforce Resilience

A growing subset of students and early-career professionals may view policy change itself as a career opportunity, pursuing roles in policy research, advocacy, government relations, or program administration. This orientation reframes policy from an external constraint into a domain in which professionals can contribute evidence, communicate public health priorities, and participate in institutional change [27]. Flexible models of experiential learning can also preserve community-engaged training when in-person activities are disrupted, as demonstrated by interprofessional and community-partnership approaches [28].

Policy risk has also become relevant to long-term career planning. Students and early-career professionals may consider the durability of funding streams, the stability of public programs, and the transferability of their skills when evaluating career options [1]. This awareness can encourage strategic planning and adaptability, but persistent uncertainty may also discourage individuals who lack the financial flexibility to tolerate unstable or time-limited employment.

The COVID-19 pandemic functioned as a major stress test for the public health workforce. It elevated the visibility of public health, generated substantial short-term workforce investment, and simultaneously demonstrated the sector's vulnerability to political and budgetary shifts [20,21]. For students entering the field during or after the pandemic, this experience may increase attention to funding stability, cross-sector transferability, and the capacity to participate in policy and systems change.

National policy therefore shapes public health careers through funding, compensation, debt-relief mechanisms, workforce development, and governance. Greater policy coherence can be aligned with the 10 Essential Public Health Services and related governance functions that guide public health systems [29]. First, workforce development should be integrated with health-system financing and supported through sustained funding mechanisms that extend beyond electoral or emergency cycles. Second, debt-relief and compensation policies should be aligned with recruitment and retention objectives. Third, educational institutions and employers should recognize adaptability, cross-sector experience, geographic mobility, and policy engagement as assets that can strengthen workforce resilience. Together, these approaches can support more sustainable and flexible career pathways.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Implications for Practice

Public health institutions should adopt workforce policies that address funding stability, debt relief, competitive compensation, and geographic incentives to strengthen recruitment and retention. Training in policy literacy, cross-sector collaboration, data interpretation, and flexible career planning may help students and early-career professionals navigate changing policy environments while supporting equitable access to underserved communities.

Implications for Research

Future research should evaluate how national and subnational policies influence long-term workforce sustainability, regional distribution, recruitment, retention, and career decisions. Longitudinal and mixed-methods studies could examine how debt, compensation, policy volatility, and adaptive career strategies interact over time. Such evidence could inform workforce models and help align public health curricula with evolving practice needs.

Implications for Policy

Policy should also support emerging competencies in data analytics, artificial intelligence, communication, and interdisciplinary practice. Investments in experiential learning and community-engaged education can broaden career pathways and strengthen readiness for complex public health challenges, including pandemics, health inequities, and climate-related threats. These approaches may help build a workforce capable of adapting to changing evidence, technologies, and population needs.

Limitations

This policy perspective has several limitations. First, it relies primarily on secondary data from published studies, governmental reports, workforce surveys, and administrative sources that vary in population, methodology, definitions, and reporting periods, which limits direct comparability. Second, because this is a policy synthesis rather than an empirical longitudinal or experimental study, the relationships described between funding, compensation, student debt, loan-repayment programs, and workforce recruitment or retention should not be interpreted as causal. Career decisions are also influenced by individual, organizational, geographic, and labor-market factors that are not fully captured in the available evidence. Third, the analysis is situated primarily within the U.S. policy environment and may not generalize to other jurisdictions. Finally, federal funding and student-loan policies evolve rapidly, which may affect the continued applicability of specific program estimates and policy conclusions. Future longitudinal and mixed-methods research should examine how changes in workforce financing and debt-relief policies affect career entry, retention, geographic distribution, and long-term workforce outcomes.

CONCLUSION

National policy shapes public health careers by creating both opportunities and constraints. Students and early-career professionals increasingly respond through adaptive career planning, cross-sector experience, geographic mobility, and policy engagement. A resilient public health workforce will require more than temporary funding: it will depend on sustained investment, competitive compensation, effective debt-relief mechanisms, strong training pipelines, and policy literacy. Policies that support these conditions can help retain talent, expand service in underserved communities, and enable the next generation of public health professionals to contribute actively to the systems in which they work.

Author Contributions: A.A. and M.S. contributed to the conceptualization, writing - original draft preparation, and writing - review and editing. Both authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge the administrative support provided by the School of Public Health, University of Nevada, Las Vegas.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Publisher's Note: The Publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

1. Leider JP, Burke EM, Nguyen RHN, Plepys CM, Kirkland C, Resnick BA, et al. Trends in degree conferrals, degree-associated debt, and employment outcomes among undergraduate public health degree graduates, 2001-2020. Am J Public Health. 2023;113(1):115-123. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2022.307113.

2. Federal Student Aid, U.S. Department of Education. Student loan forgiveness (and other ways the government can help you repay your loans). Washington (DC): U.S. Department of Education. https://studentaid.gov/articles/student-loan-forgiveness/. Accessed 9 October 2026.
3. McDill V. New study finds dramatic growth in undergraduate public health degree conferrals over the past two decades. University of Minnesota School of Public Health. https://www.sph.umn.edu/news/new-study-finds-dramatic-growth-in-undergraduate-public-health-degree-conferrals-over-the-past-two-decades/. Accessed 9 October 2026.
4. Association of Schools and Programs of Public Health. Early-career workforce trends: what Public Health WINS 2024 and ASPPH graduate data tell us. Association of Schools and Programs of Public Health. https://aspph.org/early-career-workforce-trends-what-public-health-wins-2024-and-aspph-graduate-data-tell-us/. Accessed 9 October 2026.
5. Leider JP, Robins M, McDaniel N, Hare Bork R, Doyle H, Castrucci BC. Student Loan Debt Burden in the Public Health Workforce. Am J Public Health. 2026;116(4):485-491. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2025.308290.
6. de Beaumont Foundation. What is PH WINS? de Beaumont Foundation. https://debeaumont.org/programs/phwins/what-is-phwins/. Accessed 9 October 2026.
7. Tait M, Burke EM, Leshan T, Casalotti AM, Krasna H, Leider JP. Federal student loan debt in public health and the opportunities for loan repayment programs. Public Health Rep. 2024;139(4):512-8. doi:10.1177/00333549231223712.
8. Hare Bork R, Castrucci BC. When dedication meets debt: how student loan burdens put public health at risk. de Beaumont Foundation. https://debeaumont.org/news/2026/when-dedication-meets-debt/. Accessed 9 October 2026.
9. Duff JH, Sekar K, Bodie A, Casey AR, Green VR, Napili A, et al. American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (P.L. 117-2): public health, medical supply chain, health services, and related provisions. Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress Report No.: R46834. https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/R46834. Accessed 9 October 2026.
10. Health Resources and Services Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. HRSA invests more than $6 billion from the American Rescue Plan into community health centers nationwide. Health Resources and Services Administration. https://www.hrsa.gov/about/news/press-releases/health-center-program-american-rescue-plan. Accessed 9 October 2026.
11. Bryant B. HHS expands and strengthens the community and public health workforce with new ARPA funding National Association of Counties. https://www.naco.org/news/hhs-expands-and-strengthens-community-and-public-health-workforce-new-arpa-funding. Accessed 9 October 2026.
12. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Snapshot: How HHS is building a healthier America. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/hhs-building-a-healthier-america.pdf. Accessed 9 October 2026.
13. Bureau of Health Workforce, Health Resources and Services Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Health workforce resiliency awards. Health Resources and Services Administration. https://bhw.hrsa.gov/funding/health-workforce-resiliency-awards. Accessed 9 October 2026.
14. Public Health Workforce Loan Repayment Program, 42 U.S.C. §295f-1. https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/295f-1. Accessed 9 October 2026.
15. Office of Representative Jason Crow, U.S. House of Representatives. Crow introduces bipartisan legislation to establish the Public Health Workforce Loan Repayment Program. U.S. House of Representatives. : https://crow.house.gov/media/press-releases/crow-introduces-bipartisan-legislation-establish-public-health-workforce-loan. Accessed 9 October 2026.
16. Martin HB. Education Department releases new data on Public Service Loan Forgiveness program. National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators. https://www.nasfaa.org/news-item/19700/Education_Department_Releases_New_Data_on_Public_Service_Loan_Forgiveness_Program. Accessed 9 October 2026.

17. Reber S, Turner S. The past, present, and future of the Public Service Loan Forgiveness program. Brookings Institution. https://www.brookings.edu/articles/the-past-present-and-future-of-the-public-service-loan-forgiveness-program/. Accessed 9 October 2026.
18. Federal Student Aid, U.S. Department of Education. Public Service Loan Forgiveness data. U.S. Department of Education. https://studentaid.gov/data-center/student/loan-forgiveness/pslf-data. Accessed 9 October 2026.
19. Federal Student Aid, U.S. Department of Education. Grad PLUS loans. U.S. Department of Education. https://studentaid.gov/understand-aid/types/loans/plus/grad. Accessed 9 October 2026.
20. Houck O, Martin S, Karnik H, Leider JP, Massuda Barnett G, Hasbrouck L. A review of recruitment and retention strategies in U.S. local health departments: insights and practical solutions. Front Public Health. 2025;13:1516027. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2025.1516027.
21. Krasna H, Fried LP. Generation public health: fixing the broken bridge between public health education and the governmental workforce. Am J Public Health. 2021;111(8):1413-7. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2021.306317.
22. Phanthunane P, Suwatmakin A, Konglumpun N, Pannarunothai S. Job incentives influencing health professionals working in rural and remote areas in Thailand: finding from discrete choice experiment to policy recommendation. BMC Health Serv Res. 2025;25(1):254. doi:10.1186/s12913-025-12408-2.
23. Hallett E, Simeon E, Amba V, Howington D, McConnell KJ, Zhu JM. Factors influencing turnover and attrition in the public behavioral health system workforce: qualitative study. Psychiatr Serv. 2024;75(1):55-63. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.20220516.
24. Chand BR, Eio C, Alysandratos A, Thompson J, Ha T. Public health student's attitudes toward research. Front Public Health. 2022;9:801249. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2021.801249.
25. Connelly DM, Hay ME, Guitar NA, Prentice K. Bridging Educational Grant in Nursing (BEGIN) students' intentions for retention in long-term, home and community care: a survey protocol. BMJ Open. 2024;14(5):e084744. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2024-084744.
26. Maclaren AS, Locock L, Skea Z, Angell L, Cleland J, Denison A, et al. 'Come and work here!': a qualitative exploration of local community-led initiatives to recruit and retain health care staff in remote and rural areas of the UK. J Health Serv Res Policy. 2025;30(3):180-8. doi:10.1177/13558196251318607.
27. Tamássy R, Géring Z, Király G, Plugor R, Rakovics M. The portrayal of the role and agency of students and higher education institutions in highly ranked business school discourses. J Int Educ Bus. 2024;17(1):1-20. doi:10.1108/JIEB-09-2022-0064.
28. Hasnain M, Gruss VA, Koronkowski MJ, Oyola S, Walsh S, Andes S, et al. Transformative interprofessional education and campus community partnerships to prepare the health professions workforce for advancing health equity. Front Public Health. 2026;14:1763427. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2026.1763427.
29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. National Public Health Performance Standards. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. https://www.cdc.gov/public-health-gateway/php/about/national-public-health-performance-standards.html. Accessed 9 October 2026.

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open-access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).