Advances in Medicine, Psychology and Public Health (AMPPH)

Commentary in Psychology and Law Medicine

The psychological autopsy: Unraveling the mind of the deceased

Amrutham SHRUTHY[1*]

[1]*Sahya Arts and Science College (Affiliated with University of Calicut, Kerala). E-mails: amrutham.shruthy@gmail.com*

ORCID : 0009-0002-9315-7359

**Correspondence*

Cite this paper as: Shruthy A. The psychological autopsy: Unraveling the mind of the deceased. Adv Med Psychol Public Health. 2025;2(3):196-201.

Doi: 10.5281/zenodo.13625836

Received: 10 March 2024

Revised: 15 June 2024

Accepted: 18 July 2024

Published Online: 01 September 2024

Abstract

This paper explores the use of psychological autopsy as a method to uncover the underlying causes of ambiguous deaths, with a particular focus on suicides. Despite its long-standing application by mental health professionals, psychological autopsy remains under-researched in mainstream academia, limiting its broader application. As a tool, psychological autopsy is crucial for revealing the psychological factors that contribute to death, especially in cases where the cause is unclear or disputed. Suicide, a significant public health concern, often exemplifies self-destructive behavior that goes unnoticed by those close to the individual or by the medical community. By methodically reconstructing the deceased's psychosocial environment, psychological autopsy provides vital insights, particularly in cases of equivocal deaths where the cause remains undefined or unknown.

Take-home message: Psychological autopsy is an invaluable tool for dissecting the psychosocial dimensions of ambiguous deaths, particularly suicides, providing critical insights for both forensic investigations and preventive strategies.

Keywords: Autopsy; deliberate self-harm; deceased; equivocal death; homicide; suicide; retrospective investigation.

INTRODUCTION

Every minute, births and deaths occur globally. Deaths can result from deliberate self-harm (DSH), accidents, natural causes, homicides, or remain unidentified. In many cases, the cause of death is unclear, causing prolonged distress for the deceased's family and challenges for authorities.

Suicide, a significant public health concern, exemplifies self-destructive behavior often unnoticed by friends, family, or the medical community [1]. It affects individuals across all age groups and genders. In many cases, the reasons behind a person's decision to end their life remain obscure, necessitating a deeper investigation into the psychological state leading up to their death. The psychological autopsy can serve as a vital tool to clarify these ambiguities, assisting police in identifying culprits or confirming suicides. Psychological autopsy emerges as a critical method in such scenarios, offering a systematic approach to uncovering the underlying causes of death [2].

This paper aims to elucidate the role and methodology of psychological autopsy in understanding the causes behind ambiguous deaths and provide guidelines for its application in forensic and clinical settings.

DISCUSSION

Psychological autopsy and its historical context

The "psychological autopsy" reconstructs a person's thoughts, feelings, and actions before their death, becoming a crucial tool for understanding suicide and the surrounding circumstances [3]. Developed in the 1950s by Farberow and Shneidman at the Los Angeles Suicide Prevention Center, it responded to ambiguous suicide cases and was influenced by the study of suicide notes [4].

In the 1950s, Farberow and Shneidman, working at the Los Angeles Suicide Prevention Center, developed the psychological autopsy in response to a high number of ambiguous suicide cases. Their method, influenced by the study of suicide notes from the Los Angeles County Coroner's Office, was first applied when Coroner Theodore J. Curphrey sought assistance from the Suicide Prevention Center to investigate drug-induced deaths [4]. The psychological autopsy method was later adopted by the U.S. Department of Defense, becoming a part of its training curriculum in 2002 [5].

Methodology of psychological autopsy

Psychological autopsy involves collecting systematic data from various sources, including interviews with those close to the deceased and examining relevant documents [6]. This method aims to reconstruct the psychosocial environment of the deceased, providing insights into their mental state and the circumstances leading up to their death. The process includes several key components (Table 1).

Table 1. Key components of a psychological autopsy report.

Component	Details
Identifying Information	Basic personal details of the deceased
Details of Death	Circumstances and immediate events leading to death
Crime Scene Evidence	Physical evidence collected from the scene
Medical Autopsy Report	Findings from the post-mortem examination
Victim's History	Medical, psychiatric, substance abuse, financial, etc.
Family History	Relevant familial medical and psychiatric history
Personality and Lifestyle	Description of the victim's character and way of life
Last Days of Life	Activities and behaviors in the days leading to death
Assessment of Intention	Analysis of potential suicidal intent
Reaction of Informants	Responses from those close to the deceased
Lethality Rating	Evaluation of the suicidal intent's severity

Role of investigators and ethical considerations

Investigative teams, including medical examiners, psychiatrists, and psychologists, play crucial roles in compiling psychological autopsies. They conduct interviews with family, friends, and associates to gather comprehensive information about the deceased's life and mental state [7]. Ethical considerations are paramount in this process, ensuring the informants' anonymity and willingness to participate are respected [8].

Case study: The "Sunanda Pushkar" case

The Special Investigation Team (SIT) in the Sunanda Pushkar case relied heavily on psychological autopsy, interviewing close relatives, and examining forensic evidence. They concluded that Pushkar's suicide was influenced by mistreatment from her husband [8]. However, AIIMS specialists noted limitations in the applicability of such findings in court [8].

Suicidal thoughts and investigation of ambiguous deaths

Suicidal ideation, ranging from fleeting thoughts to detailed plans, is expected during traumatic or stressful times. Effective treatment focuses on reducing immediate suicide risk and addressing underlying mental health or substance use disorders [7]. Investigating ambiguous deaths requires a thorough examination of the deceased's mental state involving forensic pathologists, investigators, and mental health professionals [10].

Table 2. Key roles in investigating ambiguous deaths.

Investigation Component	Details
Medical Examiner's Role	Establish the cause, manner, and circumstances of death.
Investigators' Role	Gather evidence, interview informants, and compile reports.
Ethical Considerations	Ensure anonymity and respect informants' willingness.
Training and Qualifications	Necessary for conducting thorough psychological autopsies

Benefits of psychological autopsy

Psychological autopsy has emerged as an indispensable tool in forensic science, particularly in the investigation of ambiguous deaths. Its primary benefit lies in its ability to provide a deeper understanding of the deceased's psychological state, thereby offering insights that might otherwise remain hidden through traditional autopsy methods. This technique is especially valuable in cases of suspected suicide, where it can help to confirm or refute the hypothesis of self-inflicted death by reconstructing the individual's mental and emotional condition leading up to the event [9].

One of the most significant benefits of psychological autopsy is its capacity to bridge the gap between clinical psychology and forensic investigation. Integrating psychological insights with forensic evidence offers a holistic view of the deceased's life, making it possible to identify potential psychological stressors, unresolved traumas, or undiagnosed mental health conditions that may have contributed to their death. This approach not only aids in the accurate determination of the cause and manner of death but also provides invaluable information for family members and legal authorities, helping to bring closure in often distressing circumstances.

Furthermore, psychological autopsy contributes to suicide prevention efforts by identifying patterns and risk factors associated with self-destructive behavior. By analyzing cases retrospectively, researchers and clinicians can gain insights into the warning signs and circumstances that precede suicide, which can inform the development of more effective prevention strategies and interventions. This retrospective analysis can also shed light on systemic issues, such as gaps in mental health care or social support systems, that may have contributed to the individual's death.

Challenges of psychological autopsy

Despite its numerous benefits, the psychological autopsy process is not without its challenges. One of the primary difficulties lies in the reliability of the information gathered. Since much of the data is obtained from third-party interviews with the deceased's family, friends, and associates, there is a risk of bias or incomplete information. These individuals may unintentionally omit critical details or, conversely, may project their interpretations or emotions onto the deceased's experiences, leading to skewed results. This subjectivity can significantly impact the accuracy of the psychological autopsy, potentially leading to incorrect conclusions about the cause or manner of death.

Another significant challenge is the lack of standardized protocols for conducting psychological autopsies. Currently, there is considerable variability in how these investigations are carried out, depending on the expertise of the investigators, the resources available, and the specific circumstances of each case. This inconsistency can result in varying levels of thoroughness and reliability in the findings, making it difficult to compare results across different cases or studies. The absence of standardized procedures also complicates the training and certification of professionals who conduct psychological autopsies, leading to disparities in the quality of these investigations [3].

Moreover, the psychological autopsy process raises ethical concerns, particularly regarding the privacy and dignity of the deceased and their families. Investigators must navigate sensitive issues, such as the disclosure of potentially distressing information to the deceased's loved ones or the risk of causing additional emotional harm through the investigative process [3]. Maintaining the balance between thorough investigation and compassionate treatment of the deceased's family is a delicate and challenging task.

Suggested solutions

Several steps can be taken to address these challenges to enhance the effectiveness and reliability of psychological autopsies. First and foremost, the development and adoption of standardized protocols are essential. These protocols should outline best practices for data collection, interview techniques, and integrating psychological findings with forensic evidence. By establishing clear guidelines, the field can ensure greater consistency and accuracy in psychological autopsy reports, making them more reliable tools for both legal and clinical purposes.

In addition to standardized protocols, there is a pressing need for specialized training programs for professionals conducting psychological autopsies. These programs should cover the technical aspects of the process and the ethical and interpersonal skills required to handle such sensitive investigations. Training should be comprehensive and include case studies, simulations, and ongoing professional development to ensure that investigators remain up-to-date with the latest research and methodologies.

Furthermore, creating a centralized database of psychological autopsy cases could greatly benefit the field. Such a database would allow researchers and practitioners to analyze trends, identify common factors in ambiguous deaths, and refine their investigative techniques based on empirical evidence. This resource could also serve as a valuable tool for training purposes, providing real-world examples to guide new investigators.

Ethical guidelines must also be a cornerstone of the psychological autopsy process. Clear standards should be established to protect the privacy of the deceased and their families, including protocols for obtaining consent and handling sensitive information. Ethical training should be integral to any certification process, ensuring investigators can conduct their work with the utmost respect and compassion.

In conclusion, while psychological autopsy offers significant benefits in investigating ambiguous deaths, addressing the challenges inherent in the process is crucial for its continued development and reliability. Adopting standardized protocols, enhanced training, establishing a centralized case database, and stringent ethical guidelines can refine a psychological autopsy into an even more powerful tool for understanding the complex factors that lead to self-destructive behaviors.

CONCLUSION

Psychological autopsy has proven to be an essential tool in unraveling the causes of ambiguous deaths, particularly suicides. Retrospectively analyzing the psychological state of the deceased provides critical insights that extend beyond traditional forensic methods, offering valuable contributions to legal investigations, suicide prevention, and scientific research.

Overcoming current challenges is crucial to fully realizing the potential of psychological autopsy. Standardizing protocols will ensure consistency and reliability in findings, facilitating broader and more systematic application in legal and clinical

settings. Additionally, specialized training programs are needed to equip professionals with the skills necessary to conduct these complex investigations with precision and sensitivity.

Future research should focus on refining methodologies, minimizing biases in data collection, and integrating interdisciplinary approaches, including artificial intelligence and social sciences. Establishing a centralized database of psychological autopsy cases could enable comparative studies and the development of evidence-based guidelines.

Incorporating psychological autopsy into routine forensic and clinical practice offers a promising avenue for enhancing suicide prevention efforts and deepening our understanding of the psychological dynamics underlying ambiguous deaths. This tool can become a cornerstone of early intervention strategies and contribute significantly to safeguarding lives by fostering collaboration among academics, clinicians, and forensic investigators.

In summary, while psychological autopsy is already a powerful and indispensable tool, continuous methodological improvements and expanded practical applications are needed to fully leverage its potential in understanding and preventing self-destructive behaviors.

Acknowledgments: None.

Funding: This paper was written with the Research grant "AP19679071" provided by the Committee of Science, Ministry of Higher Education and Science, Republic of Kazakhstan.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The Publisher remains neutral regarding jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. Additionally, the Publisher is not responsible for the accuracy, completeness, or validity of the content of scientific articles published herein. This statement exempts the Publisher from any responsibility regarding the content of scientific articles, which is solely the responsibility of the authors and peer reviewers.

References

1. Ogloff JRP, Otto RK. Psychological autopsy: Clinical and legal perspectives. St Louis Univ Law J. 1993;37:607-646.
2. Hawton K, Appleby L, Platt S, Foster T, Cooper J, Malmberg A, et al. The psychological autopsy approach to studying suicide: a review of methodological issues. J Affect Disord. 1998;50(2-3):269-276.
3. Brent DA. The Psychological Autopsy: Methodological Considerations for the Study of Adolescent Suicide. Suicide Life Threat Behav. 1989;19(1):43-57.
4. Curwen T. Norman Farberow dies at 97; psychologist was pioneer in suicide prevention. Los Angeles Times. 14 September 2015. https://www.latimes.com/local/obituaries/la-me-norman-farberow-20150915-story.html. Accessed: 06 June 2024.
5. Mohanty P, Kumar R, Sankhla MS. Importance of Psychological Autopsy in Forensic Science. Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol. 2021;19:1-6.
6. Canter D. Psychology of Offender Profiling. In: Bull R, Carson D, eds. Handbook of Psychology in Legal Contexts. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons; 1995, pp. 343-355.
7. Davies JA, Emer DM. Role of and technique in forensic psychology. Forensic Med. 2003;705-721.
8. Jayne C. Ethical issues and their practical application in a psychological autopsy study of suicide. J Clin Nurs. 1999;8:467-475.
9. Poythress N, Otto RK, Darkes J, Starr L. APA's Expert Panel in the Congressional Review of the USS Iowa Incident. Am Psychol. 1993;48(1):8-15.
10. Cordner S. Suicide, accident, murder, or natural death. Forensic Med. 2003;135-147.
11. Gottschalk LA, National Institute on Drug Abuse. Psychosocial Branch. Guide to the investigation & reporting of drug-abuse deaths: problems & methods. Rockville, Md.: Dept. of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and

Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse, Division of Research, Psychosocial Branch; 1977.

12. British Psychological Society. Ethical aspects of psychological autopsy. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1990;84:482-487.
13. Davis J. The use of Behavioural scientists in law enforcement. Law Enforc Q. 1993;27:345-367.
14. Elspeth CR, Michael GG. Psychological Autopsies: The current department of defense effort to standardize training and quality assurance. J Forensic Sci. 2002;47:6.
15. Shneidman ES. The psychological autopsy. Am Psychol. 1994;49:75-76.
16. Spitz WU. Medicolegal investigation of death. 3rd ed. Charles C. Thomas; 1993:125-127.
17. Brent D, Perper J, Kolko D. The psychological autopsy: methodological considerations for the study of adolescent suicide. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1998;27:307-323.
18. Gottschalk LA, McGuire FL, Dinovo EC, Birch H, Feiser JF. Guide to the Investigation and Reporting of Drug-Abuse Deaths. US Department of Justice; 1977.

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open-access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).